

COMPARISON OF OVERSUBSCRIPTION CRITERIA IN SIMILAR SIZED/NEIGHBOURING LOCAL AUTHORITIES

In Priority Order:

| LA Areas | Oversubscription Criteria | | | | | | Priority given to... | | | | Appeals | | % allocated their first preference 2011/12 |
|-----------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|-----------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|-----------------|---------------------------|------|---|
| | Looked After | Exceptional/ Social/ Medical | Catchment and Sibling | Siblings | Catchment | Distance (all others) - Straight Line | Distance (all others) - Safe Walking | Catchment, or Sibling | Straight Line Distance, or Safe Walking Distance | % that appealed | % appealed and successful | | |
| Bury | 1 | | | 2 | | | 3 | | x | x | 5.4% | 1.7% | 93% |
| C West/Chester | 1 | 2 | | 3 | 4 | 5 | | x | x | x | 4.5% | 1.6% | * |
| East Riding | 1 | | | 3 | 2 | 4 | | x | | x | 0.6% | 0.2% | 97.4% |
| Lancashire | 1 | 2 | | 3 | | 4 | | x | | | 3.2% | 1.3% | 90% |
| North Yorkshire | 1 | 2 | | | 3 | | 4 | x | | x | 2.0% | 1.6% | 95.1% |
| Staffordshire | 1 | 4 | | 3 | 2 | 5 | | x | | x | 1.3% | 0.3% | 92.6% |
| Stockport | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 6 | | x | | x | 2.8% | 1.3% | 89% |
| Swindon | 1 | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | x | x | 1.5% | 0.3% | * |
| Trafford | 1 | | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | | x | | x | 2.4% | 0.7% | * |
| Warrington | 1 | 3 | | 2 | | 4 | | | x | x | 1.6% | 0.4% | 88% |
| Warwickshire | 1 | | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | | x | | x | 2.7% | 1.5% | * |
| York | 1 | 3 | | 4 | 2 | | 5 | x | | x | 0.9% | 0.3% | 92.9% |

Major differences

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Bury - No Catchment Areas | Staffordshire - Siblings prioritised |
| C West/Chester - Siblings prioritised | Swindon - Siblings prioritised |
| Lancashire - No Catchment Areas | Warrington - No Catchment Areas |

*could not supply data